Gibb, PLLC at (703) 761-4100.

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name;

TILT SERVO SYSTEM

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

the specification of which: (check one)				
X (is attached hereto)				
was filed on		1		
as Application S	Serial No.	<u> </u>		
and was amende	ed on	(if applicable)		
I hereby state that I have the claims, as amended by any ame		ntents of the above identified specifi	cation, includ	ing
I acknowledge the duty to accordance with Title 37, Code of		material to the examination of this a	oplication in	
	isted below and have also ident	Inited States Code, § 119 of any foreign application fation on which priority is claimed:		n(ş)
Prior Foreign Application(s)	Japan	24/07/2002	priority claimed X	
2002-215600 (Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	yes	no
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	yes	no
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	yes	no
below and, insofar as the subject mapplication in the manner provided to disclose material information as	atter of each of the claims of th by the first paragraph of Title 3 defined in Title 37, Code of Fe	Code, § 120 of any United States application is not disclosed in the 35. United States Code, § 112, I ack deral Regulations, § 1.56 which occurrent filing date of this application:	prior United S nowledge the	States duty
(Application Serial No.)	(Filing Date)	(Status: patented, pend	ing, abandoned	<u>d)</u>
W. Gibb, III, Reg. No. 37,629, as	attorneys and/or agents to pros	oint Sean M. McGinn, Reg. No. 34, ecute this application and transact all ence should be directed to McGinn	l business in th	he

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

8321 Old Courthouse Road, Suite 200, Vienna, Virginia 22182-3817. Telephone calls should be directed to McGinn &

·	
Ryo SATO	
	Date
Saitama-ken, Japan	
Japanese	
o Pioneer Corporation, Toko	prozawa Koujou, 2610, Hanazono 4-chome,
Saitama-ken 359-8522 Japan	
Yoshio SASAKI	
	Date
Saitama-ken, Japan	
Japanese	
ola Pianear Corneration Tol	korozawa Voujou 261. Hanagana 4 ahama
	,
	. ,
# 1 To 1 T	
	Date
	
· ·	
is/are attached hereto if the present in	vention includes more than four inventors.)
	Saitama-ken, Japan Japanese O Pioneer Corporation, Toko Saitama-ken 359-8522 Japan Yoshio SASAKI Saitama-ken, Japan Japanese c/o Pioneer Corporation, Tol Saitama-ken 359-8522 Japan

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith toward the Patent and Trademark Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and (1) it establishes by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability; or (2) it refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in: (i) opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or (ii) asserting an argument of patentability.

^{*}Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56: